BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

PUCKETT OIL COMPANY,)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	PCB
)	(LUST Permit Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
Respondent.)	

NOTICE OF FILING AND PROOF OF SERVICE

To: John T. Therriault, Acting Clerk

Illinois Pollution Control Board

100 West Randolph Street

State of Illinois Building, Suite 11-500

Chicago, IL 60601

Division of Legal Counsel

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

1021 North Grand Avenue East

P.O. Box 19276

Springfield, IL 62794-9276

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today electronically filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, pursuant to Board Procedural Rule 101.302 (d), a PETITION FOR REVIEW OF THE AGENCY LUST DECISION, a copy of which is herewith served upon the attorneys of record in this cause.

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of this Notice of Filing, together with a copy of the document described above, were today served upon counsel of record of all parties to this cause by enclosing same in envelopes addressed to such attorneys with postage fully prepaid, and by depositing said envelopes in a U.S. Post Office Mailbox in Springfield, Illinois on the 18th day of February, 2015.

Respectfully submitted, PUCKETT OIL COMPANY, Petitioner

BY: LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK D. SHAW

BY: /s/ Patrick D. Shaw

Patrick D. Shaw Law Office of Patrick D. Shaw 80 Bellerive Road Springfield, IL 62704 Telephone: 217-299-8484

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PUCKETT OIL COMPANY)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)) I	PCB
) (LUST Permit Appeal)
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
Respondent.)	

PETITION FOR REVIEW OF AGENCY LUST DECISION

NOW COMES Petitioner, PUCKETT OIL COMPANY, pursuant to Section 57.8(i) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, 415 ILCS 5/57.8(i), and hereby appeals the Agency's final decision, refusing to approve the application for payment, and in support thereof states as follows:

- This appeal arises from underground storage tanks formerly at the Tee Pee Mini
 Mart at 224 East Washington in Pittsfield, Pike County, Illinois, and assigned LPC
 #1630455117.
- Petitioner filed an application for payment for early action work performed in
- On January 13, 2015, the Illinois EPA rejected the application for payment on the grounds that the application was incomplete and costs were otherwise ineligible. Attached hereto is a true and correct copy of said decision.
 - 4. The Illinois EPA's decision should be reversed for the following reasons:
 - a. The application was complete, containing all of the information required of a complete application pursuant to Section 57.8 of the Illinois Environmental

- Protection Act (415 ILCS 5/57.8(a)(6));
- The application was complete, containing all of the information required of a complete application pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 734.605;
- The application was complete pursuant to forms that existed at the time application for payment was submitted to the Illinois EPA;
- d. The Petitioner is relieved from the obligation to submit or file forms that are not in compliance with the Forms Notice Act (20 ILCS 435/1 et seq.);
- e. The information sought is irrelevant under the LUST Program;
- f. The Agency improperly seeks to review documents that exceed its scope of review, including mandating the creation of new documents that were not created when the underlying transactions occurred, and were not relied upon in the completion of the application for payment.
- g. The amount of soil removed is within the limits allowed under early action;
- h. Soil boring logs and analytical results were provided or can be provided;
- Removal of the canopy was necessary to perform early action activities, and early action activities do not require prior approval; and
- j. The handling charges are appropriate given the above responses.
- 5. The Agency's determination was received on January 15, 2015, which is 34 days from the date this appeal is being filed, and therefore timely.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner, PUCKETT OIL COMPANY, prays that: (a) the Agency produce the Record; (b) a hearing be held; (c) the Board find the Agency erred in its decision, (d) the Board direct the Agency to approve the application for payment in full, (e) the Board award payment of attorney's fees; and (f) the Board grant Petitioner such other and further relief as it deems meet and just.

PUCKETT OIL COMPANY, Petitioner

By its attorneys, LAW OFFICE OF PATRICK D. SHAW

By: /s/ Patrick D. Shaw

Patrick D. Shaw Law Office of Patrick D. Shaw 80 Bellerive Road Springfield, IL 62704 217-299-8484

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 NORTH GRAND AVENUE EAST, P.O. BOX 19276, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62794-9276 • (217) 782-2829

PAT QUINN, GOVERNOR

LISA BONNETT, DIRECTOR

217524-3300

CERTIFIED MAIL #

JAN 1 3 2015

7012 0470 0001 2967 4747

Puckett Oil Company Attn: Environmental Management, Inc. 1154 North Bradfordton Road Springfield, IL. 62711

Re:

LPC #1490755042 -- Pike County Pittsfield / Tee Pee Mini Mart

224 East Washington

Incident-Claim No.: 20140600 -- 65027

Queue Date: September 16, 2014

Leaking UST Fiscal File

Dear Mr. Puckett:

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) has completed the review of your application for payment from the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Fund for the above-referenced Leaking UST incident pursuant to Section 57.8(a) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (Act), as amended by Public Act 92-0554 on June 24, 2002, and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code) 734.Subpart F.

This information is dated September 11, 2014 and was received by the Illinois EPA on September 16, 2014. The application for payment covers the period from May 28, 2014 to July 25, 2014. The amount requested is \$111,529.53.

On September 16, 2014, the Illinois EPA received your application for payment for this claim. As a result of the Illinois EPA's review of this application for payment, a voucher cannot be prepared for submission to the Comptroller's office for payment. Subsequent applications for payment that have been/are submitted will be processed based upon the date subsequent application for payment requests are received by the Illinois EPA. This constitutes the Illinois EPA's final action with regard to the above application(s) for payment.

The deductible amount of \$5,000.00 cannot be withheld from your payment. Pursuant to Section 57.8(a)(4) of the Act, any deductible, as determined pursuant to the Office of the State Fire Marshal's eligibility and deductibility final determination in accordance with Section 57.9 of the Act, shall be subtracted from any payment invoice paid to an eligible owner or operator.

4302 N. Main St., Rockford, IL 61103 (815) 987-7760 595 S. State, Elgin, IL 60123 (847) 608-3131 2125 S. First St., Champaign, IL 61820 (217) 278-5800 2009 Mall St., Collinsville, IL 62234 (618) 346-5120 9511 Harrison St., Des Plaines, IL 60016 (847) 294-4 412 SW Washington St., Suite D, Peoria, IL 61602 (2 2309 W. Main St., Suite 116, Marton, IL 62959 (618 100 W. Randolph, Suite 10-300, Chicago, IL 60601



Page 2

There are costs from this claim that are not being paid. Listed in Attachment A are the costs that are not being paid and the reasons these costs are not being paid.

An underground storage tank system owner or operator may appeal this decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board. Appeal rights are attached.

If you have any questions or require further assistance, please contact Catherine S. Elston of my staff at 217-785-9351.

Sincerely,

Hewards of Alberrain

Hernando A. Albarracin, Manager Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section Division of Remediation Management Bureau of Land

HAA:CSE

ATTACHMENT

c: Puckett Oil Company Leaking UST Claims Unit Cathy Elston

Attachment A Accounting Deductions

Re: LPC #1490755042 -- Pike County

Pittsfield / Tee Pee Mini Mart

224 East Washington

Incident-Claim No.: 20140600 -- 65027

Queue Date: September 16, 2014 Leaking UST FISCAL FILE

Citations in this attachment are from the Environmental Protection Act (415 ILCS 5) (Act) and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code).

Description of Deductions

The application for payment in its entirety is denied because it contains costs which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.6(a) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Without additional supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. The documentation listed below in items 1 through 6 is necessary to support this claim submitted to the Illinois EPA.

Further, pursuant to Section 57.6(a) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.605(a), an owner or operator seeking payment from the Fund must submit to the Illinois EPA an application for payment on forms prescribed and provided by the Illinois EPA. The claim submitted did not include the forms listed below in items 1 and 6.

In addition, the application for payment requests handling charges for subcontractor costs when the contractor has not submitted proof of payment of the subcontractor costs. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.6(a) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(ii). In addition, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they are not reasonable. The application for payment failed to include sufficient documentation that the contractor paid the applicable subcontractor invoices. The Illinois EPA is requesting the affidavits referenced in item 6 below be completed and submitted.

Moreover, in accordance with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.665, an owner or operator that submits an application for payment must maintain all books, records, documents, and other evidence directly pertinent to the application for payment, including but not limited to all financial information and data used in the preparation or support of applications for payment. All books, records, documents, and other evidence must be maintained in accordance with accepted business practices and appropriate accounting procedures and practices. (Sections 57.6(a) and 57.15 of the Act)

Pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.605(b)(9) and (10), a complete application for payment must contain:

An accounting of all costs, including but not limited to, invoices, receipts, and supporting documentation showing the dates and descriptions of the work performed; and

Proof of payment of subcontractor costs for which handling charges are requested. Proof of payment may include cancelled checks, lien waivers, or affidavits from the subcontractor.

The following information is needed to complete the review of the claim:

- 1. A breakdown of consulting personnel time requested for payment. Specifically requested are the Consulting Personnel Costs Form for the above-referenced claim and the companion Personnel Weekly Work Sheet that includes the days and times of day worked for each employee. Both forms are accessible on the Illinois EPA's Leaking UST Program Web page at www.epa.state.il.us/land/lust/forms/budget-forms/forms-1/table-of-contents.html under the Application for Payment Forms.
- A copy of all employees' time sheets for the period for which consulting personnel time was requested for payment.
- A copy of all contracts signed by the UST owner or operator for the abovereferenced claim.
- A copy of all contracts and agreements between the consultant and all subcontractors.
- 5. Documentation that the UST owner or operator paid the applicable deductible. Documentation could include a copy of the canceled check (front and back).
- Affidavit(s) (attached)—completed, signed, and sealed—for the following subcontractor for the work completed pursuant to the above-referenced claim:

Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc. (4) Hickory Ridge Landfill Central Stone Company Callender Construction Company

7. A copy of the invoice for the removal of the 10,000 gallon UST that is ineligible for payment.

The Illinois EPA has determined that a complete application for payment has not been submitted and the information listed above in items 1 through 7 is needed for a complete application for payment.

In addition, the following deductions would be made to the submittal:

1. \$1,429.23, deduction for drilling costs which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA

cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The drilling costs lack technical documentation, including soil boring logs and analytical results.

2. \$244.27, deduction for analytical costs which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The analytical costs for flash point, paint filter, pH, soil preparation for metals, TCLP Lead and one sample shipping lacks technical documentation, including soil boring logs and analytical results.

3. \$11,772.50, deduction for excavation, transportation and disposal costs which exceed the minimum requirements necessary to comply with the Act. Costs associated with site investigation and corrective action activities and associated materials or services exceeding the minimum requirements necessary to comply with the Act are not eligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(o).

The excavation, transportation and disposal of 107 cubic yards of native soil removal exceeds the minimum requirements to comply with the Act.

4. \$4,131.00, deduction for backfill costs which exceed the minimum requirements necessary to comply with the Act. Costs associated with site investigation and corrective action activities and associated materials or services exceeding the minimum requirements necessary to comply with the Act are not eligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(o).

Backfill costs for 107 cubic yards of backfill associated with the native soil removal exceeds the minimum requirements to comply with the Act.

5. \$5,200.00, deduction for canopy removal that did not have prior approval for the removal pursuant to Section 57.7 (c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.627(a)(17).

The canopy removal costs exceed the minimum requirements necessary to comply with the Act. Costs associated with site investigation and corrective action activities and associated materials or services exceeding the minimum requirements necessary to comply with the Act are not eligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(o).

6. \$428.70, deduction for consulting personnel costs for landfill profile, which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The consulting personnel costs for Daniel Lacey to collect landfill profile soil sample lacks technical documentation, including soil boring logs, and analytical results.

\$100.00, deduction for consultant's material costs for a PID, which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 III. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The consultant's material costs for one day for a PID to screen a landfill profile soil sample lacks technical documentation, including soil boring logs, and analytical results.

 \$1,135.93, adjustment in the handling charges due to the deduction(s) of ineligible costs. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.1(a) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.635.

Handling charges on ineligible costs.

Attachment: Affidavit(s)

State of Illinois
County of Sangamon
I, the undersigned,, being first duly sworr
upon my oath, do hereby depose and state as follows:
I am an authorized agent of Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc.
The following activity has been completed at Tee Pee Mini Mart, 224 East Washington, Pittsfield, Illinois:
Laboratory analysis of three BETX/MTBE samples and three PNA samples by persons directly employed by Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc.
I have personal knowledge of invoice #1402836 for the sum of \$846.84, and it has been paid in full. I further attest that no discounts, price reduction, give backs, or rebates of any kind were will be issued to any party regarding the payment of this invoice.
I am aware there are significant penalties for submitted false statements or representations to the Illinois EPA, including but not limited to fines, imprisonment, or both as provided in Sectio 44 and 57.17 of the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/44 and 57.17].
Further affiant sayeth not.
Signature:
Subscribed and sworn to before me the day of ,,
Seal:
(Notary Public)

State of Illinois
9
County of Sangamon
I, the undersigned,, being first duly sworn
upon my oath, do hereby depose and state as follows:
I am an authorized agent of Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc.
The following activity has been completed at Tee Pee Mini Mart, 224 East Washington, Pittsfield, Illinois:
Laboratory analysis of ten BETX samples and ten PNA samples by persons directly employed by Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc.
I have personal knowledge of invoice #1402812 for the sum of \$2,822.80, and it has been paid full. I further attest that no discounts, price reduction, give backs, or rebates of any kind were will be issued to any party regarding the payment of this invoice.
I am aware there are significant penalties for submitted false statements or representations to the Illinois EPA, including but not limited to fines, imprisonment, or both as provided in Section 44 and 57.17 of the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/44 and 57.17].
Further affiant sayeth not.
Signature:
Subscribed and sworn to before me the day of , , ,
Seal:
(Notary Public)

State of Illinois			
County of Sangamon			
		<u>y</u>	
I, the undersigned,			_ being first duly sworn
upon my oath, do hereby depose and s	tate as follows:		_ being instituting sworm
I am an authorized agent of Pra		s, Inc.	
The following activity has been	completed at Tee Per	e Mini Mart, 224 E	East Washington,
Pittsfield, Illinois:		ra intagri a sun Arrour areas a una trapa e victobran entitu	
Laboratory analysis of f employed by Prairie An		five PNA sample:	s by persons directly
I have personal knowledge of in full. I further attest that no disc will be issued to any party regar	counts, price reductio	n, give backs, or r	and the second s
I am aware there are significant the Illinois EPA, including but no 44 and 57.17 of the Environmen	ot limited to fines, imp	orisonment, or bo	th as provided in Sections
Further affiant sayeth not.			
Signature:			
* *			
Subscribed and sworn to before me the	day of		
	- Carlotte	Seal:	OKC
(Notary Public)			

State of Illinois
County of Sangamon
I, the undersigned,, being first duly sworn
upon my oath, do hereby depose and state as follows:
I am an authorized agent of Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc.
The following activity has been completed at Tee Pee Mini Mart, 224 East Washington, Pittsfield, Illinois:
Laboratory analysis of one sample each of flash point, TCLP, Lead TCLp, pH, and paint filter by persons directly employed by Prairie Analytical Systems, Inc.
I have personal knowledge of invoice #1402134 for the sum of \$185.77, and it has been paid in full. I further attest that no discounts, price reduction, give backs, or rebates of any kind were o will be issued to any party regarding the payment of this invoice.
I am aware there are significant penalties for submitted false statements or representations to the Illinois EPA, including but not limited to fines, imprisonment, or both as provided in Sections 44 and 57.17 of the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/44 and 57.17].
Further affiant sayeth not.
Signature:
Subscribed and sworn to before me the day of ,
Seal:
(Notary Public)